MINUTES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF YORK

Adjourned Meeting July 16, 2002

5:30 p.m.

<u>Meeting Convened</u>. An Adjourned Meeting of the York County Board of Supervisors was called to order at 5:40 p.m., Tuesday, July, 2002, in the East Room, York Hall, by Chairman Donald E. Wiggins.

<u>Attendance</u>. The following members of the Board of Supervisors were present: Walter C. Zaremba, Sheila S. Noll, Donald E. Wiggins, James S. Burgett, and Thomas G. Shepperd.

Also in attendance were James O. McReynolds, County Administrator; and James E. Barnett, County Attorney.

YORKTOWN REVITALIZATION - PIER DESIGN

<u>Mr. McReynolds</u> stated the purpose of the meeting was to provide the Board with information on the construction of pier projects at the waterfront and to receive guidance from the Board. The projects to be discussed include the transient boating pier, the Yorktown pier, and an observation pier.

Mr. Robert S. Kraus, Executive Director for Yorktown Revitalization, explained that a grant for \$600,000 had been received for the transient boating pier and would be applied to the estimated cost of \$720,000. He explained that after the grant was awarded, a closer look at the design of the pier resulted in new design options such as the use of floating piers. The original concept included a fixed pier which would require a wave attenuator underneath the structure. Another concept was the use of floating piers as suggested by the Virginia Institute of Marine of Science. The fixed pier would cost between \$2.3 and \$2.8 million. The advantages of a floating pier include betters views of the York River from the shore, better view of boats docked on the channel side of the structure, easier access for smaller boats, and they are less expensive. An artist's renderings of fixed and floating piers were introduced, and Mr. Kraus discussed specifications for the proposed pier. The observation pier is a concept that was proposed a number of years ago, and he also presented the Board with an artist's rendering of one. He stated that at this time staff recommends postponing the observation deck feature, as it is felt the architectural anchor can be achieved through a larger brick plaza. He noted that postponing it now would not preclude the County from building it in the future if needed.

Discussion followed regarding the need for an observation pier and whether or not a floating pier would be strong enough to provide access for emergency and service vehicles, and it was noted that even the original design would not accommodate large vehicles. The current & sign will accommodate pick-up trucks. No sewage pump-out facility was proposed at this time for sewage disposal since the cruise ships that visit Yorktown at present do not require any waste disposal facility, but conduit could be installed should that be considered at a later date.

Chairman Wiggins stated he felt that some type of fire protection was necessary on the dock.

July 16, 2002

At this time a video on the construction of floating piers from a manufacturer in Sweden was shown, and discussion ensued on the construction process of the floating pier and its sustainability in severe weather.

Mr. Zaremba asked about the timeframe to have a pier in place.

<u>Mr. Kraus</u> explained if staff received direction from the Board this evening they would be able to bid this project in early to mid fall.

Mrs. Noll asked what the capacity was for the smaller cruise ships that come in and how many people were on the ships.

<u>Mr. Kraus</u> stated the ships that come in today are a little over 200 feet long and they could dock on the 200-foot structure. The ships carry 100 passengers or less.

<u>Chairman Wiggins</u> made comparisons between the manufacturer in Florida and the manufacturer in Sweden, stating he was impressed by the company in Sweden. He asked how the pier would get here.

<u>Mr. Kraus</u> reported that the Swedish company had opened a manufacturing plant in Gloucester, Virginia, the only one in the United States. The transient pier could be made in the United States, while the larger structures would have to be shipped from abroad.

Further discussion followed concerning the safety aspects of a floating pier.

<u>Mr. Zaremba</u> asked what the pier is expected to be used for and what the County's marketing plan was to make it happen. He asked who would police the area should a boat stay overnight.

<u>Mrs. Noll</u> stated a marketing plan needed to be developed, and she suggested getting a marketing expert involved.

Mr. McReynolds stated that staff has economic data that indicates the amount of income and revenue that should be generated from the retail development proposed for Yorktown. Staff is working with the Watermen's Museum and having discussions about it becoming the dock master for the upriver pier. He stated he felt there may be a need for a permanent presence in Yorktown, at least during the peak months if not year round.

Mr. Shepperd asked where the money would coming from.

Mr. McReynolds stated it would come from the tourism tax, the three cents that the General Assembly authorized the Board to add to the occupancy tax that must be dedicated to tourism.

After further discussion the Board, by consensus, agreed that the Yorktown pier would be a floating pier with brick finish and granite trim, and that a gazebo would be a transition point from the fixed to the floating pier. Further, the Board agreed there would be no observation deck behind the freight shed. The Board also agreed to proceed with the upriver pier, or transient boat pier, as proposed by staff, recognizing that staff is still in negotiations with the Watermen's Museum, and the design will not be finalized until those negotiations are concluded.

<u>Mr. Zaremba</u> stated he wanted to have a competitive bid process for the piers, rather than have the bid written for one company.

<u>Mr. McReynolds</u> assured Mr. Zaremba that the bid would be crafted to encourage as much competition as possible. He stated he would send an outline of the specifications to the Board before finalization.

<u>Mr. Zaremba</u> stated he felt that the pier could be constructed by a company in the United States rather than in Sweden.

Meeting Adjourned. At 6:38 p.m. Chairman Wiggins declared the meeting adjourned sine die.

James O. McReynolds, Clerk York County Board of Supervisors Donald E. Wiggins, Chairman York County Board of Supervisors